



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/750,581

12/29/2003

Robert E. Higashi

H0005015-0760(1100.123710

8573

128 7590 04/06/2007
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.
101 COLUMBIA ROAD
P O BOX 2245
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245

EXAMINER

ECHELMEYER, ALIX ELIZABETH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1745

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

04/06/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/750,581

Applicant(s)

HIGASHI ET AL.

Examiner

Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer

Art Unit

1745

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 29-60 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 29-60 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-14-04, 10-5-05
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statement filed October 5, 2005 has been considered. The Information Disclosure Statement filed October 14, 2004 has been considered; however, several foreign and non-patent literature documents were not included in the application file, and those documents were not considered.

Claim Interpretation

2. Claims 29-60 are directed to electrodes for a fuel cell, wherein the electrodes have apertures, and a proton exchange membrane is sandwiched between the apertures. The instant specification teaches that the catalyst layers are formed on the membrane, and not on the so-called electrodes (Claim 2, [0029], [0031], [0039], etc. of the instant specification, see US Pre-Grant Publication 2005/0142410). For the purposes of examination, the electrodes as claimed will be interpreted to be current collectors, since the catalyst layer is already contained in the membrane.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1745

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 29, 30, 33-48 and 54-60 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pratt et al. (US Patent 6,127,058).

With regard to claims 1 and 54, Pratt et al. teach a planar fuel cell having a membrane electrode assembly sandwiched between two current collectors (abstract). Pratt et al. further teach that the assembly is held together by an adhesive (column 5 lines 9-13).

As for claims 30, 48, Pratt et al. teach that the membrane is coated on both sides with a catalyst (column 5 lines 6-8).

Regarding claims 33-35, 42, 43, in one embodiment Pratt et al. teach metal current collectors on a plastic film (column 5 lines 13-29). The current collector is inherently conductive since it must conduct electricity in order for the fuel cell to function.

Regarding claim 37, the current collectors are conductive layers provided on the plastic film. In this case, the electrode is the plastic film, which is nonconductive, and the metal current collectors are conductive, so the current collecting layer, or "electrode layer" of the instant claims, is both conductive, because of the metal current collectors, and nonconductive, because of the plastic film.

As for claims 36, 47 and 60, the adhesive layer discussed above must be conductive in order for the fuel cell to produce electricity, so it will be considered as a

Art Unit: 1745

conductive layer. The layer would be provided after the apertures were formed in the current collecting layer (column 5 lines 9-13).

As for claims 38 and 39, the adhesive layer covers part of the aperture surfaces, as just discussed.

As for claim 55, Pratt et al. teach that one or several fuel cell assemblies may be provided (column 5 lines 29-37).

Regarding claims 40, 41, 44, 45 and 59, the conductive layer would inherently extend through the apertures since it must conduct electrodes out of the fuel cell.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 31, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pratt et al. in view of Stanley et al. (US Pre-Grant Publication 2004/0053100).

The teachings of Pratt et al. as discussed above are incorporated herein.

Pratt et al. teach a method for providing a membrane electrode assembly but fail to teach the instantly claimed membrane and catalyst materials.

Art Unit: 1745

Stanley et al. teach a membrane made of polytetrafluoroethylene and a perfluorosulfonic acid ([0034]). The catalyst of Stanley et al. may be platinum supported on carbon black ([0066]).

Stanley et al. teach that it is conventional to use a solid polymer electrolyte, such as one made of polytetrafluoroethylene and a perfluorosulfonic acid, since it is dimensionally stable and inert ([0007], [0034]).

Stanley et al. further teach that platinum supported on carbon black is a conventionally recognized catalyst for enhancing reaction rate ([0007], [0066]).

It would have been desirable to form the membrane and catalyst of Pratt et al. with the materials taught by Stanley et al. since they would form a fuel cell that was dimensionally stable and inert, and enhanced the reaction.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the membrane and catalyst of Pratt et al. with the materials taught by Stanley et al. since they would form a fuel cell that was dimensionally stable and inert, and enhanced the reaction.

7. Claims 49-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pratt et al. in view of Badding et al. (US Pre-Grant Publication 2002/0102450).

The teachings of Pratt et al. as discussed above are incorporated herein.

Pratt et al. teach a fuel cell assembly that is very thin (column 5 line 39).

Pratt et al. fail to teach the specifically claimed dimensions.

Art Unit: 1745

Badding et al. teach a fuel cell apparatus having thicknesses for various components of 0.1 to 50 microns, which is desired in order to provide a current path while overcoming the resistivity of various materials ([0052]).

It would have been desirable to create parts of the fuel cell of Pratt et al. as small as possible, such as in the dimensions of Badding et al., in order to create a fuel cell that was very thin but still functioned to overcome the resistivity of the materials used.

It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. MPEP 2144.05 (IIB).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer whose telephone number is 571-272-1101. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's trainer, Susy N. Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alix Elizabeth Echelmeyer
Examiner
Art Unit 1745

aee


SUSY TSANG-FOSTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER